

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

MAR 27 2024

In re: BRANDON ADAMS.

---

BRANDON ADAMS,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA, LAS  
VEGAS,

Respondent,

ELIZABETH BROWN; RORY WUNSCH,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 24-936

D.C. No. 2:23-cv-01923-CDS-MDC  
District of Nevada,  
Las Vegas

ORDER

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

Before: FRIEDLAND, VANDYKE, and MENDOZA, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated a clear and indisputable right to the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See In re Mersho*, 6 F.4th 891, 897 (9th Cir. 2021) (“To determine whether a writ of mandamus should be granted, we weigh the five factors outlined in *Bauman v. United States District Court.*”); *Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition for a writ of mandamus, as supplemented, is denied.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

**DENIED.**